

- Technology Revolution not matched by Information Revolution
- Organisations still designed around machine age, command and control, production push, militaristic models
- Need to establish a new adaptive model of organisation based on synthesis of people, behaviours, skills, processes and information
- Rooted in Managerial Cybernetics, a systems approach to building a learning organisation
- Need to learn to realise the value of information itself rather than the cost of its provision
- Success lies in recognising that information is more important than technology
- Relevant Figures: 1.1

- The business case for change
 - Each investment is greater, each payback is smaller, risk of stultifying progress
 - Needs a paradigm shift, understand value not just cost
- Invest in and exploit information rather than technology to achieve performance gains
- Key models:
 - What is IT worth?
 - What is the value of a happy customer?
 - What is the value of time in the market?
 - What is the true cost of error or failure?
 - How productive are we?
- The impact of interdependency
 - Requires systemic thinking about organisation
 - Move away from functional orientation to process orientation

- **Perpetually Failing Problem Solving Engine**
 - Organisation cannot adapt as quickly as the situation is changing
- **Adaptation requires the organisation to have a 'model of self' against which it can measure performance**
 - Characteristics:
 - Self-Regulating, Adaptive, Self-Aware, Dynamical, Co-Evolve with Environment
- **Value Generation**
 - Organisational Effectiveness = fulfilment of purpose
 - Interdependence of Behaviour, Skills, Processes through Soft and Hard Information
 - Fundamental Approach = Customer Outcome Orientation
 - Design backwards from the customer, Demand Led, Establish Core Processes
 - Homeostat = use information feedback
 - self-regulate, emergence of information based-hierarchy
 - management of management
 - Senior management supports and enables junior management
 - Does not intervene in operations
- **Relevant Figures: 3.1-3.14 and 4.1-4.11**

- ‘Head Office’ functions are not purposeful in their own right
- Value enabling activity
 - Allostasis – maintaining dynamic balance by
 - Current products to current customers, Modify the future market, Develop new products and services, Modify the organisation
 - Requires
 - Understand performance, understand opportunities and threats, develop strategy,
 - Negotiate and synthesise the change
- Need to develop a simulation, a rich, information based model of possible futures
 - Do things right (current), Do right things (future)
 - Essence of a ‘learning organisation’ is to be able to reflect and change
- Manage tension arising between the two
 - Clear understanding of identity
 - Underpinning Mission and adherence to values as means of decision making
- Dimensions of growth
 - Physiological, psychological
- Relevant Figures: 5.1-5.9 and 6.1-6.4

- Power, Communication and Organisation
 - Tendency of power to centralise
- Contemporary technology enables a sustainable approach
 - Distributed decisions, shallow hierarchy, wide span of control, minimised constraint
 - Avoid models developed in earlier industrial and military ages
 - Size, speed, distance, time all render autonomy essential to survival
 - MUST create the cultural conditions under which people empower themselves
- Autonomy must be appropriate to organisational cohesion and risk
- How much autonomy is enough? Principle of Subsidiarity
 - ‘functions which subordinate or local organisations perform effectively belong more properly to them’
- Decision model for Autonomy
 - Business Model, Process Progression, Skills Progression, Risk, Values Alignment
- Relevant Figures: 7.1-7.6 and 8.1-8.5

- Information Systems are value enabling, essential but not in themselves purposeful
- Information strategy is critical
 - Supported by a technology strategy
 - Information has value, technology has cost
- The Lean Information System
 - Led by “The Information Heartbeat”, the rhythm of information demand
 - System designed to embed the decisions needed in informational ‘models of self’
 - The answer is embedded in the process of asking the question
 - Capture Data at the level of the work itself
- Information Systems Hierarchy
 - Presentation, Integration, Applications, Devices, Network – take action on the right thing at the right level
- Best practice – an aspiration to mediocrity
 - Being ‘good enough’ is not good enough!
- Relevant Figures: 9.1-9.4 and 10.1-10.5

- Understanding Performance is about the extent to which the organisation
 - has fulfilled its purpose, is capable of survival, NOT just short term financial position
 - much traditional reporting is inward and backward looking, bureaucratic
- Measurement is of organisational adaptedness, the value exchange between the organisation and its environment
 - Organisations generate social value and obligations
 - Close the gap between where we are and where we intended to be
 - Close the gap between where we intended to be and where we are capable of being
 - We must realise potential
- The Potentiometer
 - Efficiency = actual performance divided by capability
 - Latency = capability divided by potential
 - Performance = efficiency multiplied by latency
 - Synthesise and integrate the results into a single architecture
- Manager as transducer – conveying and translating information
- Relevant Figures: 11.1-11.4 and 12.1-12.12

- Ideas of Intelligent Organisation apply even to the State
 - Must maintain legitimacy through a democratic process
 - Must sustain citizens as necessary without interfering or oppressing
 - Balance along capitalistic-socialistic and libertarian-authoritarian continua
 - Power, ultimately, belongs to the individual citizen
 - Must sustain relationship with neighbours
- States are typically functionally organised, like businesses
 - Not efficient, not effective,
 - Public Services organisations are not profit oriented, cannot (financially) fail
- Intelligent Nation is designed for the outcomes desired by and for citizens
- Performance of public service organisations
 - Need clarity of purpose, accountability for performance
 - Need focus on meeting needs, minimising predations and limitations, distributing control
 - Needs revolution not evolution
- Relevant Figures: 13.1 and 14.1-14.3

- Idea of Intelligent Organisation applies to the individual
 - Physiologically unitary, Psychologically plural, one body, many roles
- Self-managing
 - Understanding our roles, utilising and balancing resources across them
 - Time, energy, money, relationships
 - For each 'self'
 - Focus on the outcome desired, the process for delivering it, the inputs necessary
 - Hold 'self' to account for achievement (or not)
 - Adapt (learn) to improve performance at each cycle
 - Exercise personal autonomy and be responsible
- Self-developing
 - Understand evolving environment, the options available, choices about future 'selves'
 - Focus on purpose, sense of self, values and beliefs, choose what (if anything!) to change
- Identity
 - Be true to yourself, act in ways consistent with beliefs
- Relevant Figures: 15.1-15.5

- A methodology for applying the ideas
 - Leadership – the skills, beliefs, courage of the CEO
 - GPF – Guide, Philosopher, Friend
 - Leader and GPD develop a shared model, a shared understanding of ‘the problem’
 - Diagnosis:
 - What is the purpose of the organisation?
 - What are the Value-Generating processes?
 - What are the Value-Enabling processes?
 - How is the Trialogue constituted?
 - How is autonomy sustained?
 - How is information provided? (Technology and Systems)
 - Is Information valued? (is reporting meaningful)
 - How is performance managed?
 - Prognosis?
 - What is the future you are currently in?
 - Treatment
 - How would it be if?
- Relevant Figures: 16.1-16.2