

Surfing the Waves

Infrastructure Interdependency, Economic Growth and Investment

Professor John Beckford
Information Science, Loughborough University

john.beckford@beckfordconsulting.com

+44 (0)7785 360249


Bristol University 30/04/12

- Inspired by response of HMT to initial work
- Traditional economic modelling for growth
 - Considered individual elements of infrastructure
 - Picked up co-location, sharing
 - Did not appear to model interdependency from either a performance, resilience or economic perspective
 - Considered economic benefits of 'THIS' but not the consequences for 'THESE'


- Inspired by response of HMT to initial work
- Traditional economic modelling for growth
 - Considered individual elements of infrastructure
 - Picked up co-location, sharing
 - Did not appear to model interdependency from either a performance, resilience or economic perspective
 - Considered economic benefits of 'THIS' but not the consequences for 'THESE'
- So, how to address?

- Inspired by response of HMT to initial work
- Traditional economic modelling for growth
 - Considered individual elements of infrastructure
 - Picked up co-location, sharing
 - Did not appear to model interdependency from either a performance, resilience or economic perspective
 - Considered economic benefits of 'THIS' but not the consequences for 'THESE'
- So, how to address?
- Need a model that accepts and values interdependency
- Also need to understand that:
 - time is an important element
 - economic benefits do not respect either the budgetary or electoral cycles


- “The UK Infrastructure is a network of networks” CST 2009
- Each element-
 - Energy
 - Transport
 - ICT
 - Water
 - Waste- depends to some degree on the others
- Might models that deal with interdependency provide the feedstock for economic modelling?




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**
 - $\text{potential/capability} = \text{latency}$
 - $\text{latency} * \text{utilisation} = \text{effectiveness}$




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**
 - $\text{potential/capability} = \text{latency}$
 - $\text{latency} * \text{utilisation} = \text{effectiveness}$
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**
 - $\text{potential/capability} = \text{latency}$
 - $\text{latency} * \text{utilisation} = \text{effectiveness}$
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**
 - $\text{potential/capability} = \text{latency}$
 - $\text{latency} * \text{utilisation} = \text{effectiveness}$
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**
 - $\text{potential/capability} = \text{latency}$
 - $\text{latency} * \text{utilisation} = \text{effectiveness}$
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - $\text{activity/capability} = \text{utilisation}$
 - unrealised **potential**
 - $\text{potential/capability} = \text{latency}$
 - $\text{latency} * \text{utilisation} = \text{effectiveness}$
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - activity/capability = **utilisation**
 - unrealised **potential**
 - potential/capability = **latency**
 - latency*utilisation = **effectiveness**
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - activity/capability = **utilisation**
 - unrealised **potential**
 - potential/capability = **latency**
 - latency*utilisation = **effectiveness**
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - activity/capability = **utilisation**
 - unrealised **potential**
 - potential/capability = **latency**
 - latency*utilisation = **effectiveness**
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?




- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - activity/capability = **utilisation**
 - unrealised **potential**
 - potential/capability = **latency**
 - latency*utilisation = **effectiveness**
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?



- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - activity/capability = **utilisation**
 - unrealised **potential**
 - potential/capability = **latency**
 - latency*utilisation = **effectiveness**
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?



- Each element has
 - an installed **capability**
 - a level of productive **activity**
 - activity/capability = **utilisation**
 - unrealised **potential**
 - potential/capability = **latency**
 - latency*utilisation = **effectiveness**
- When utilisation = capability latency must be exploited
- Increased activity without investment generates growth
- Effectiveness = ROI?



- When the current capability and potential is fully exploited what happens?
 - or failing or in need of replacement
- The economic case is hard to make for the replacement or upgrade
- Locally it costs too much and in itself does not provide sufficient additional value
- Perhaps, the economic benefit is embedded in its enabling role?
- Perhaps, the benefit can be measured:
 - elsewhere in the network of networks of the primary infrastructure
 - in the secondary infrastructure
 - in societal rather than economic benefit

- When the current capability and potential is fully exploited what happens?
 - or failing or in need of replacement
- The economic case is hard to make for the replacement or upgrade
- Locally it costs too much and in itself does not provide sufficient additional value
- Perhaps, the economic benefit is embedded in its enabling role?
- Perhaps, the benefit can be measured:
 - elsewhere in the network of networks of the primary infrastructure
 - in the secondary infrastructure
 - in societal rather than economic benefit
- We need to understand investment and growth in a systemic sense

- Infrastructure investment works in waves over time:
 - Wave 1: Design and build
 - Wave 2: Maintain
 - Wave 3: Exploit

- Wave 1 belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the phase (2-10 years)
 - Enables growth but in itself perhaps adds little direct value
 - Growth arises primarily at the location of the designers and builders?

- Wave 1 belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the phase (2-10 years)
 - Enables growth but in itself perhaps adds little direct value
 - Growth arises primarily at the location of the designers and builders?



- Wave 1 belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the phase (2-10 years)
 - Enables growth but in itself perhaps adds little direct value
 - Growth arises primarily at the location of the designers and builders?
- Wave 2 also belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the element itself (5-100 years?)
 - Supports/enables long term economic growth locally and elsewhere
 - Maintenance spend is relatively local – delivers some level of local benefit

- Wave 1 belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the phase (2-10 years)
 - Enables growth but in itself perhaps adds little direct value
 - Growth arises primarily at the location of the designers and builders?
- Wave 2 also belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the element itself (5-100 years?)
 - Supports/enables long term economic growth locally and elsewhere
 - Maintenance spend is relatively local – delivers some level of local benefit




- **Wave 1 belongs to the infrastructure owner**
 - Lasts the life of the phase (2-10 years)
 - Enables growth but in itself perhaps adds little direct value
 - Growth arises primarily at the location of the designers and builders?
- **Wave 2 also belongs to the infrastructure owner**
 - Lasts the life of the element itself (5-100 years?)
 - Supports/enables long term economic growth locally and elsewhere
 - Maintenance spend is relatively local – delivers some level of local benefit
- **Wave 3 belongs to infrastructure users**
 - Lasts the life of the primary element
 - May have multiple cycles
 - Will have multiple exploiters
 - Benefit is perhaps measured in:
 - the exploiting infrastructure NOT the primary infrastructure
 - the social infrastructure – education, commerce, civil administration, healthcare, defence

- Wave 1 belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the phase (2-10 years)
 - Enables growth but in itself perhaps adds little direct value
 - Growth arises primarily at the location of the designers and builders?
- Wave 2 also belongs to the infrastructure owner
 - Lasts the life of the element itself (5-100 years?)
 - Supports/enables long term economic growth locally and elsewhere
 - Maintenance spend is relatively local – delivers some level of local benefit
- Wave 3 belongs to infrastructure users
 - Lasts the life of the primary element
 - May have multiple cycles
 - Will have multiple exploiters
 - Benefit is perhaps measured in:
 - the exploiting infrastructure NOT the primary infrastructure
 - the social infrastructure – education, commerce, civil administration, healthcare, defence











Wave 1


Build


















- Suggestion is that we need to develop and adopt systemic economic models to synthesise and understand how to realise the potential of:
 - Economic and social benefit from:
 - Individual entities
 - Co-located and co-dependent entities
 - Interdependent networks
 - Networks of Networks
 - Develop and test systemic hypotheses in economic terms
 - Address the challenges of:
 - integrated investment by fragmented owners
 - long-term, inter-generational infrastructure investment
 - short term electoral and budget cycle thinking



Surfing the Waves

Infrastructure Interdependency, Economic Growth and Investment

Professor John Beckford
Information Science, Loughborough University

john.beckford@beckfordconsulting.com

+44 (0)7785 360249

Bristol University 30/04/12
